U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 29829373

Date: FEB. 6, 2024

Appeal of Texas Service Center Decision

Form I-140, Immigrant Petition for Alien Workers (National Interest Waiver)

The Petitioner seeks second preference immigrant classification as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree or as an individual of exceptional ability, as well as a national interest waiver of the job offer requirement attached to this EB-2 classification. Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 203(b)(2), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2).

The Director of the Texas Service Center denied the petition, concluding the Petitioner had not established eligibility for the underlying immigrant classification and for a waiver of the required job offer, and thus of the labor certification, would be in the national interest. The matter is now before us on appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3.

The Petitioner bears the burden of proof to demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375-76 (AAO 2010). We review the questions in this matter de novo. *Matter of Christo's, Inc.*, 26 I&N Dec. 537, 537 n.2 (AAO 2015). Upon de novo review, we will dismiss the appeal.

To establish eligibility for a national interest waiver, petitioners must demonstrate qualification for the underlying EB-2 visa classification, as either an advanced degree professional or an individual of exceptional ability in the sciences, arts, or business. Section 203(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Act. In addition, petitioners must show the merit of a discretionary waiver of the job offer requirement "in the national interest." Section 203(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Act. *Matter of Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. 884, 889 (AAO 2016) provides that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, as matter of discretion[1], grant a national interest waiver if:

- The proposed endeavor has both substantial merit and national importance;
- The individual is well-positioned to advance their proposed endeavor; and
- On balance, waiving the job offer requirement would benefit the United States.

Regarding the national interest waiver, the first prong relates to substantial merit and national importance of the specific proposed endeavor. *Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. at 889. At initial filing, the

[1] *See also Poursina v. USCIS*, 936 F.3d 868 (9th Cir. 2019) (finding USCIS' decision to grant or deny a national interest waiver to be discretionary in nature).

Petitioner provided a cover letter in a foreign language, which was neither translated nor certified. Any document in a foreign language must be accompanied by a full English language translation. 8 C.F.R. § 102.2(b)(3). The translator must certify that the English language translation is complete and accurate, and that the translator is competent to translate from the foreign language into English. *Id.*

In response to the Director's request for evidence, the Petitioner claimed that her "knowledge and work experiences can add value to large companies," and she has "had the opportunity to explore a number of companies, and [she has] been able to see that many times they lack a professional who has the knowledge and experience to deal with the staff and create a pleasant and productive work environment." The Petitioner also listed several objectives, including to "stand out within a company with long-term perspectives," "contribute to keeping the company's client portfolio healthy," "provide good logistics management and the correct supply of raw materials in company warehouses," "maintain an attitude of cultural positivity," and "maintain a high standard in inspections of quality control." In addition, the Petitioner provided two job offer letters for a warehouse general manager and a plant safety and quality control manager.

The Director concluded the Petitioner demonstrated the proposed endeavor's substantial merit but not its national importance. Regarding substantial merit, the endeavor's merits may be demonstrated in a range of areas such as business, entrepreneurialism, science, technology, culture, health, or education. *Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. at 889.

In determining national importance, "we look for broader implications" of the proposed endeavor and that "[a]n undertaking may have national importance for example, because it has national or even global implications within a particular field." *Id.* Further, "[a]n endeavor that has significant potential to employ U.S. workers or has other substantial positive economic effects, particularly in an economically depressed area, for instance, may well be understood to have national importance." *See Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. at 890.

Moreover, to evaluate whether the Petitioner's proposed endeavor satisfies the national importance requirement, we look to evidence documenting the "potential prospective impact" of her work. *Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. at 889. Here, the Petitioner did not demonstrate how her proposed endeavor of working in a managerial capacity for a warehouse, plant, or similar company largely influences the field and rises to the level of national importance. In *Dhanasar*, we determined the petitioner's teaching activities did not rise to the level of having national importance because they would not impact his field more broadly. *Id.* at 893. Likewise, the record does not show through supporting documentation how her managerial services stands to sufficiently extend beyond her prospective employer, to impact the industry or the U.S. economy more broadly at a level commensurate with national importance.

In addition, the Petitioner repeatedly emphasizes her knowledge and experience. However, the Petitioner's knowledge, skills, and abilities relate to the second prong of the *Dhanasar* framework, which "shifts the focus from the proposed endeavor to the foreign national." *Id.* at 890. The issue here is whether the specific endeavor that she proposes to undertake has national importance under *Dhanasar*'s first prong.

Finally, the Petitioner did not show how her proposed endeavor has significant potential to employ U.S. workers or otherwise offers substantial positive economic effects for our nation. Without credible evidence regarding any projected U.S. economic impact or job creation attributable to her future work, the record does not demonstrate any benefits to the U.S. regional or national economy resulting from the Petitioner's managerial work would reach the level of "substantial positive economic effects" as contemplated by *Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. at 890.

Because the documentation in the record does not establish the national importance of her proposed endeavor as required by the first prong of the *Dhanasar* precedent decision, the Petitioner has not demonstrated eligibility for a national interest waiver. Further analysis of her eligibility under the second and third prongs outlined in *Dhanasar*, therefore, would serve no meaningful purpose. We also reserve a determination on the Petitioner's eligibility for the underlying immigrant classification.[2]

III. CONCLUSION

As the Petitioner has not met the requisite first prong of the *Dhanasar* analytical framework, we conclude that she has not demonstrated eligibility for or otherwise merits a national interest waiver as a matter of discretion. The appeal will be dismissed for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternate basis for the decision.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

[2] *See INS v. Bagamasbad*, 429 U.S. 24, 25 (1976) (stating that agencies are not required to make "purely advisory findings" on issues that are unnecessary to the ultimate decision); *see also Matter of L-A-C-*, 26 I&N Dec. 516, 526 n.7 (BIA 2015) (declining to reach alternate issues on appeal where applicants do not otherwise meet their burden of proof).