U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 34345054

Date: OCT. 25, 2024

Appeal of Texas Service Center Decision

Form I-140, Immigrant Petition for Alien Workers (National Interest Waiver)

The Petitioner seeks employment-based second preference (EB-2) immigrant classification as an individual of exceptional ability, as well as a national interest waiver of the job offer requirement attached to this classification. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 203(b)(2), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2).

The Director of the Texas Service Center denied the petition, concluding the Petitioner did not establish that he qualifies for the underlying EB-2 classification or that a waiver of the required job offer, and thus of the labor certification, would be in the national interest. The Director also explained that the Petitioner had not complied with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(4)(ii), requiring submission of the employee-specific sections of the labor certification. The matter is now before us on appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3.

The Petitioner bears the burden of proof to demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375-76 (AAO 2010). We review the questions in this matter de novo. *Matter of Christo's, Inc.*, 26 I&N Dec. 537, 537 n.2 (AAO 2015). Upon de novo review, we will dismiss the appeal.

To qualify for the underlying EB-2 visa classification, a petitioner must establish they are an advanced degree professional or an individual of exceptional ability in the sciences, arts, or business. Section 203(b)(2)(A) of the Act. Exceptional ability means a degree of expertise significantly above that ordinarily encountered in the sciences, arts, or business. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(2). A petitioner must initially submit documentation that satisfies at least three of six categories of evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii)(A)-(F).[1] Meeting at least three criteria, however, does not, in and of itself, establish eligibility for this classification.[2] If a petitioner does so, we will then conduct a final merits determination to decide whether the evidence in its totality shows that they are recognized as having

[1] If these types of evidence do not readily apply to the individual's occupation, a petitioner may submit comparable evidence to establish their eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(iii).

[2] U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has previously confirmed the applicability of this two-part adjudicative approach in the context of individuals of exceptional ability. 6 *USCIS Policy Manual* F.5(B)(2), https://www.uscis.gov/policy-manual/volume-6-part-f-chapter-5.

the requisite degree of expertise and will substantially benefit the national economy, cultural or educational interests, or welfare of the United States. Section 203(b)(2)(A) of the Act.

If a petitioner establishes eligibility for the underlying EB-2 classification, they must then demonstrate that they merit a discretionary waiver of the job offer requirement "in the national interest." Section 203(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Act. While neither the statute nor the pertinent regulations define the term "national interest," *Matter of Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. 884, 889 (AAO 2016), provides the framework for adjudicating national interest waiver petitions. *Dhanasar* states that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, as matter of discretion,[3] grant a national interest waiver if the petitioner demonstrates that:

- The proposed endeavor has both substantial merit and national importance;
- The individual is well-positioned to advance their proposed endeavor; and
- On balance, waiving the job offer requirement would benefit the United States.

Id.

As an initial matter, and as explained in the Director's request for evidence and decision, the Petitioner did not submit the Form ETA-750B, as required by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(4)(ii).[4] For this reason alone, the petition is not approvable.

Further, the Petitioner solely addresses his eligibility for a national interest waiver on appeal. Because the brief does not contest the Director's specific findings regarding the underlying EB-2 visa classification as an individual of exceptional ability, we deem this ground to be waived. An issue not raised on appeal is waived. *See, e.g., Matter of O-R-E*, 28 I&N Dec. 330, 336 n.5 (BIA 2021) (citing *Matter of R-A-M-*, 25 I&N Dec. 657, 658 n.2 (BIA 2012).

As the petition is not approvable for the reasons above, we need not reach, and hereby reserve, the Petitioner's appellate arguments regarding his qualification for a national interest waiver. *See INS v. Bagamasbad*, 429 U.S. 24, 25 (1976) (stating that agencies are not required to make "purely advisory findings" on issues that are unnecessary to the ultimate decision); *see also Matter of L-A-C-*, 26 I&N Dec. 516, 526 n.7 (BIA 2015) (declining to reach alternative issues on appeal where applicants do not otherwise meet their burden of proof).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

[3] *See Flores v. Garland*, 72 F.4th 85, 88 (5th Cir. 2023) (joining the Ninth, Eleventh, and D.C. Circuit Courts (and Third in an unpublished decision) in concluding that USCIS' decision to grant or deny a national interest waiver is discretionary in nature).

[4] In the alternative, the Petitioner may provide Sections J, K, and L of the ETA Form 9089, Application for Permanent Employment Certification.